บทคัดย่อ

ความเข้มแข็งของศูนย์สงเคราะห์ราษฎรประจำหมู่บ้าน					
: กรณีศึกษา อำเภอพญาเม็งราย จังหวัดเชียงราย					
2544					
Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, SPSS/PC ⁺)					
1.					
12,500					

L.L. 2537 LL.L. 2540 LLILLILLILLILLILLILLILLILLILLILLILLILLI				
□□□□□7,001 □14,000 □□□				
2.				
56				
6				
13				
23				
3.				

ABSTRACT

Abstract of special problem submitted to the Graduate School Project of Maejo University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts in Development Administration

STRENGTH OF VILLAGE WELFARE CENTERS : A CASE STUDY IN PHAYAMENGRAI DISTRICT, CHIANGRAI PROVINCE

Ву

PALIDA KARKUM

OCTOBER 2001

Chairman: Dr.Chalermchai Panyadee

Department/ Faculty: Department of Agricultural Extension,

Faculty of Agricultural Business

This research was aimed to investigate (1) general context of village welfare centers, (2) the strength of village welfare centers as a people organization, (3) problems and resolution guidelines for the village welfare centers. The respondents of this study were 56 chairmans and the committees of the village welfare centers. The data were collected by pretested of questionnaires, decoded and analyzed by using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS/PC⁺)

The findings were as followed:

(1) Most of the village welfare centers were established outside the municipality during 1993 to 1997. There were an average of 9 committee members and a start up fun of 12,500 baths per center allocated by the Royal Thai government. At present, the remaining allocated budget were between 7,001 □14,000 baht.

- (2) The strength of village welfare in Phayamengrai District was studied in 3 areas: 1) as the community organization 2) as employment and social welfare service agency 3) as supporting agency to help people to help themselves. It was found that the function to help people to help themselves had the highest strength, followed by function on employment and social welfare service agency. The strength of village welfare centers as community organization had the lowest score. Overall score of 3 areas indicated that non of 56 village welfare centers had very good level. Those of which 6 center were good, 14 centers were fair, 13 centers were low and 23 centers were poor.
- (3) Problems encountered by the committees were that the village welfare centers had problems on objectives, the committees, people participation, budget, regulations, labour and social welfare information and management. The respondents recommended resolution to become the employment and labour service center and a practical social welfare centers that the government train the welfare center committee to be able to operate and manage the village welfare centers.